	AMENDMENT OF SOLICITA	TION/MODIF	FICATION	OF CONTRACT	1. CONT	RACT ID CO	DDE	PAGE OI	F PAGES 3	
	. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 3. EFFECT O009 See B			4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE R P-4-P2-63-FM-A00 000	EQ. NO. 5. PROJE			ECT NO. (If ap	plicable)	
6. ISSUED BY CODE I			)	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other to	han Item	nan Item 6) CODE				
	INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Southeast Procurement Branch (A:P:F:SE) 2888 Woodcock Blvd Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30341			See Item 6		,				
	Charisse Jackson 404-338-9220									
8.	NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No. Stree	ZIP: Code)  Vendor ID:	00055905	(x)	(x) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. TIRSE-03-R-00002					
TO ALL OFFERORS					9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 03/05/2004					
						10A. MOD	IFICATION OF	CONTRACT	ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATE	D (SEE ITEM	13)		
СО	DE	FACILITY	CODE							
	11. THI	S ITEM ONLY	APPLIES T	O AMENDMENTS OF	SOLIC	IOITATI	IS			
X	The above numbered solicitation is amended	as set forth in Iter	n 14. The hour	and date specified for receipt	of Offers	is is	extended,	X is not e	extended.	
(a) (c) TH virt	ers must acknowledge receipt of this amendments by completing Items 8 and 15, and returning By separate letter or telegram which includes a E PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT use of this amendment you desire to change an other solicitation and this amendment, and is received.	1 copies of a reference to the OF OFFERS PF offer already sub sived prior to the c	the amendmen solicitation and RIOR TO THE I mitted, such ch	t; (b) By acknowledging receip amendment numbers. FAILU HOUR AND DATA SPECIFIE ange may be made by telegrar	ot of this RE OF 'D MAY	amendme YOUR ACK RESULT I	nt on each o (NOWLEDG N REJECTIO	opy of the omegan of the contract of the contr	BE RECEIVED AT JR OFFER. If by	
	42 TUIC ITE	M ADDI IES (	NI V TO M	ODIFICATIONS OF CO	NTDA	CTC/OD	DEBE			
				ODIFICATIONS OF CO RDER NO. AS DESCRI			-			
ίx	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUA	THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.								
		THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).								
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:									
	D. OTHER Specify type of modification and auth	ority)								
E.	IMPORTANT: Contractor  is r	not, 🔲 is re	quired to sign	this document and return	C	opies to th	ne issuing o	office.		
	DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (G	Organized by UCF se	ection headings, in	cluding solicitation/contract subject	matter wi	nere feasible	.)			
	cept at provided herein, all terms and conditions of the o		I in Item 9A or 10A	a, as heretofore changed, remains u						
15	B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. [	DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AN	IERICA			16C. DATE	SIGNED	
-	(Signature of person authorized to sign)			BY(Signature of C	ontractin	g Officer)				

OMB Control No. 1505-0081

## SF30 CONTINUATION SHEET

Amendment 0009 is issued to respond to questions from industry received since issuance of Amendment 0008.

The proposal due date is not extended.

Questions/Responses:

1. Reference: Amendment #8, Question and response to Question #16

Question – The Government response indicated the Vendors are to complete the Price Evaluation Model in Section C, Part III for raw labor only and other items, such as training, as to be classified as ODC and noted separately. Where is it to be noted separately? Where should it be reflected in Section B? As it currently stands, Section B does not provide for ODC's.

RESPONSE: The price of any ODC's is rolled into Section B. Your Section B price is all inclusive

2. Reference: Amendment #8, Question and response to Question #17

Question – The Government response indicates the requested price breakdowns are needed for: (1) establishing a base line for future requests for price adjustments "due" to wage determination revisions (quotes added for emphasis); and (2) to establish price reasonableness. In accordance with FAR 14.408-2(a), Responsible Bidder – reasonableness of price, and based upon case law on adequate competition, price reasonableness can be established if two or more vendors respond to this acquisition. If there are two or more responders, then the Government has price reasonableness. Based upon the number and types of questions, the Government will have two or more responders. Again, this acquisition will result in a firm fixed price contract. Upon an award, the Government will have established price reasonableness and the labor rates are within the requirements of the Wage Determination Act (per Exhibit 4). Should there be changes in the Wage Determination Act rates in the "future" and the contractors fixed hourly rates fall under that threshold, then a price adjustment could be addressed at that time.

Based upon the type of contract to be awarded and adequate competition, along with price reasonableness, why is the Government requiring this level of detail?

RESPONSE: The purpose of the labor breakdown is to establish future Service Contract adjustments. Therefore, we are deleting our request for all columns except Labor Category, Basic Wage Rate and Fringe Benefit. Paragraph L.17.2.C has been revised accordingly.

3. In reviewing Amendment 0008 to the above solicitation, we have encountered an area that requires clarification as outlined below.

Amendment 0006, questions and answers, Questions 16 & 17 questioned the need to submit a Plan for K-9 Explosive Detection, as outlined in Section C, paragraph C.5.3 with the contractor's proposal.

The Government's response was that this requirement was being deleted and that the Plan for K-9 Explosive Detection would be submitted by the winning contractor after award of the contract.

Section L of Amendment 8, paragraph L.17.2.B.7(c)(7) once again has the requirement for the contractor to submit the Plan for K-9 Explosive Detection with the proposal.

Our question is, is it a requirement to submit this plan with the proposal, or will it be submitted after award of the contract?

Please clarify.

RESPONSE: As specified in Amendment 0006, offerors need not submit a proposed K-9 Explosive Detection Plan with its proposal. The contractor shall submit a draft of its K-9 Explosive Detection Plan 30 days after start of contract. It Lack of omission of the paragraph from the solicitation was an oversight. This requirement has been removed from paragraph L.17.2.B.7(c)(7) under this amendment.